arXiv:1409.1641v2 [math.DG] 24 Dec 2014

A NOTE ON THE ENTROPY OF MEAN CURVATURE FLOW

CHAO BAO

Abstract. The entropy of a hypersurface is given by the supremum over all F-functionals with varying centers and scales, and is invariant under rigid motions and dilations. As a consequence of Huisken's monotonicity formula, entropy is non-increasing under mean curvature flow. We show here that a compact mean convex hypersurface with some low entropy is diffeomorphic to a round sphere. We will also prove that a smooth self-shrinker with low entropy is exact a hyperplane.

1. Introduction

The F-functional of a hypersurface $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is defined as

$$F(\Gamma) = (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_\Gamma e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}$$

whereas the entropy of Γ is given by

$$\lambda(\Gamma) = \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}, t_0 > 0} (4\pi t_0)^{-n/2} \int_\Gamma e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t_0}} \tag{1}$$

If taking a transformation of the integral, we can also get

$$\lambda(\Gamma) = \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}, t_0 > 0} (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{t_0\Gamma + x_0} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}} \tag{2}$$

By section 7 in [3], the entropy of a self-shrinker is equal to the value of the F-functional F and thus no supremum is needed. In [11], Stone computed the entropy for generalized cylinders $\mathbf{S}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$. He showed that $\lambda(\mathbf{S}^n)$ is decreasing in n and

$$\lambda(\mathbf{S}^1) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{e}} \approx 1.5203 > \lambda(\mathbf{S}^2) = \frac{4}{e} \approx 1.4715 > \lambda(\mathbf{S}^3) > \cdots > 1 = \lambda(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

Moreover, a simple computation shows that $\lambda(\Sigma \times \mathbf{R}) = \lambda(\Sigma)$.

Date: 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 58J05.

Key words and phrases. entropy, self-shrinker, mean curvature flow, sphere.

Mean curvature flow is a parameter family of hypersurfaces $\{M_t\} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ which evolves under the following equation:

$$(\partial_t X(p,t))^{\perp} = -H(p,t)\nu(p,t) \tag{3}$$

Here $\overrightarrow{H} = -H\nu$ is the mean curvature vector of M_t, $H = div_{M_t}\nu$, ν is the outward unit normal, X is the position vector and $\cdot^{\perp}$ denotes the projection on the normal space.

We denote $\Phi(x,t) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2}e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$ and $\Phi_{(y,\tau)} = \Phi(x-y,\tau-t)$, Huisken's monotonicity implies that for any $(y,\tau) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\times\mathbf{R}$, t_1 and t_2 with $t_2 < t_1 < \tau$ we have

$$\int_{M_{t_1}} \Phi_{(y,\tau)} \leq \int_{M_{t_2}} \Phi_{(y,\tau)} \tag{4}$$

As a consequence of Huisken's monotonicity formula, entropy is non-increasing under mean curvature flow.

A hypersurface $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is a self-shrinker if it satisfies

$$H = \frac{\langle X, \nu\rangle}{2} \tag{5}$$

It can be proved that, if Γ is a self-shrinker, then $\Gamma_t = \sqrt{-t}\Gamma$ satisfies the mean curvature flow equation, see lemma 2.2 in [3].

A non-compact hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ is said to be with polynomial volume growth if there are constants C and d so that for all $r \geq 1$

$$Vol(B_r(0) \cap \Sigma) \leq Cr^d. \tag{6}$$

where $B_r(0)$ denote the ball centered at origin 0 with radius r in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$.

In [5], Huisken showed that mean curvature flow stating at any smooth compact convex initial hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ remains convex and smooth until it becomes extinct at a point and if we rescale the flow about the point in space-time where it becomes extinct, then the rescalings converge to round spheres. In [6], Huisken and Sinestrari developed a theory for mean curvature flow with surgery for two-convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$, and classified all of the closed two-convex hypersurfaces. In [3], Colding and Minicozzi found a piece-wise mean curvature flow, under which they could prove that assuming a uniform diameter bound the piece-wise mean curvature flow starting from any closed surface in $\mathbf{R}^3$ will become extinct in a round point.

Inspired by [4], we expect to study hypersurfaces perspective from entropy, i.e. whether we can classify all of the mean convex hypersurfaces under some entropy condition. Specially, when the entropy of a closed mean convex hypersurface is no more than $\lambda(\mathbf{S}^{n-2})$, whether we can classify all of this kind of hypersurfaces like the result of Huisken and Sinestrari, see [6]. As

a first step to our goal, in this note, we will prove that under some entropy condition a mean convex closed hypersurface is diffeomorphic to a round sphere.

It seems to the author that, entropy plays similar roles as energy does in harmonic map theory. For example, in harmonic map theory one has ϵ-regularity theorem [9] [12], Liouville type theorem for harmonic maps with small energy [2], and uniqueness of harmonic maps with small energy [10] etc. If comparing self-shrinkers as harmonic maps one has similar results on the entropies of self-shrinkers. So it also motivates the author to do this work.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $M_0 \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$ is a smooth closed embedded hypersurface with mean curvature $H > 0$. If $\lambda(M_0) \leq \min\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{S}^{n-1}), \frac{3}{2}\}$, then it is diffeomorphic to a round sphere $\boldsymbol{S}^n$.*

Moreover, we can get the following Bernstein type theorem for self-shrinkers under some low entropy condition.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose Γ is a smooth non-compact embedded self-shrinker with polynomial volume growth, there exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$, such that if $\lambda(\Gamma) < 1 + \epsilon$ then Γ must be a hyperplane.*

It should be pointed out that, under the assumption $\lambda(M_0) < 2$, it is easy to check that all tangent flows must be multiplicity one, and as a sequel, we will not need to mention this in the proof of main theorems. In the proof of main theorems, we will use similar techniques from [1], [4] etc.

Acknowledgements The author is very grateful to Professor Yuguang Shi for discussing this result and many helpful comments on this problem.

2. Tangent flows of mean curvature flows

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise mentioned, we will always assume M_0 is a smooth closed embedded hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, and $\{M_t\}$ is a mean curvature flow starting from M_0.

Let $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{R}$ be a fixed point in the space-time, and $\lambda > 0$ be a positive constant in $\mathbf{R}$. We say that $\{M_s^\lambda\}$ is a parabolic rescaling of $\{M_t\}$ at (x_0, t_0) if it satisfies

$$M_s^\lambda = \lambda^{-1}(M_{\lambda^2 s + t_0} - x_0) \tag{7}$$

where $s \in (-\lambda^{-2} t_0, 0)$. It is easy to check that $\{M_s^\lambda\}$ also satisfies mean curvature flow equation. For any hypersurface M in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, we say x_0 is a regular point of M, if there is an open neighbourhood $U_0 \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ of x_0, such that M is smooth in $M \cap U_0$. Moreover, we say M is regular, if every point of M is a regular point.

Definition 2.1. *We say that a parameter of hypersurfaces $\{\Gamma_s\}_{s<0}$ is a tangent flow of $\{M_t\}$, if there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_j\}$, $\lambda_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, such that $M_s^{\lambda_j} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_s$ as Randon measures for each $s < 0$.*

We will denote $M_s^j = M_s^{\lambda_j}$ for simplicity without confusion. About the existence of tangent flows, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2 (see [8])**.** *Suppose $\{M_t\}$ is a mean curvature flow, and M_0 is a smooth embedded hypersurface, then for any time-space point $(x_0, t_0) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n+1} \times \boldsymbol{R}$ there is a parameter of hypersurfaces $\{\Gamma_s\}_{s<0}$ and a sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_j\}$, $\lambda_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, such that $M_s^j \hookrightarrow \Gamma_s$ as Radon measures for each $s < 0$.*

Moreover, by Lemma 8 of [7], we know that $\Gamma_s = \sqrt{-s}\Gamma_{-1}$, and Γ_{-1} is a weak solution of self-shrinker equation (5). Furthermore by Huisken's monotonicity formula, we can prove the following point-wise convergence lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *If $\{\Gamma_s\}_{s<0}$ is a tangent flow of $\{M_t\}$ at (x_0, t_0), and $\{M_s^j\}$ is the corresponding sequence of parabolic transformation of $\{M_t\}$, then $\{M_s^j\}$ converge to $\{\Gamma_s\}$ as Hausdorff distance for each $s < 0$.*

Proof. Because M_0 is closed and embedded, we can prove that for any fixed t, $T < t < t_0$ for some $T > 0$, there is a constant $V = V(Vol(M_0), T)$ such that $Vol(B_r(0) \cap M_t) \leq Vr^n$ for all $r > 0$, and all $T \leq t < t_0$, see Lemma 2.9 in [3]. Furthermore, it is easy to check that

$$Vol(B_r(0) \cap M_s^j) \leq Vr^n \tag{8}$$

for all $r > 0$ and all $\lambda_j^{-2}(T - t_0) \leq s < 0$.

Since $\{M_s^j\}$ is a also a mean curvature flow, then by Huisken's monotonicity formula for any $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, and any $s_2 < s_1 < s_0$, we have

$$\int_{M_{s_1}^j} \Phi_{(x_0,s_0)} \leq \int_{M_{s_2}^j} \Phi_{(x_0,s_0)} \tag{9}$$

By (8), smoothness of the function $\Phi_{(x_0,s_0)}$, and the measure convergence of $\{M_s^j\}$, as $j \to \infty$ for every $s < s_0$ we have

$$\int_{M_s^j} \Phi_{(x_0,s_0)} \to \int_{\Gamma_s} \Phi_{(x_0,s_0)} \tag{10}$$

Combining this with (9), we have for any $s_2 < s_1 < s_0$,

$$\int_{\Gamma_{s_1}} \Phi_{(x_0,s_0)} \leq \int_{\Gamma_{s_2}} \Phi_{(x_0,s_0)} \tag{11}$$

so

$$\lim_{s\nearrow s_0}\int_{\Gamma_s}\Phi_{(x_0,s_0)}$$

exists.

Suppose there are a sequence $\{x_j\}$, $x_j \in M^j_{s_0}$ and a point $y \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying $\lim_{j\to\infty} x_j = y$. It is easy to see that if we prove $y \in \Gamma_{s_0}$, then we get the lemma.

For any smooth embedded mean curvature flow $\{\widehat{M}_t\}$, so it is easy to check that if $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{M}_{s_0}$

$$\lim_{s\to s_0}\int_{\widehat{M}_t}\Phi_{(\widehat{x},s_0)} = 1 \tag{12}$$

Moreover, it is also easy to check that for any $\widehat{x} \notin \widehat{M}_{s_0}$, we have

$$\lim_{s\to s_0}\int_{\widehat{M}_{s_0}}\Phi_{(\widehat{x},s_0)} = 0 \tag{13}$$

That is to say, if

$$\lim_{s\to s_0}\int_{\widehat{M}_s}\Phi_{(\widehat{x},s_0)} \neq 0$$

we must have $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{M}_{s_0}$.Actually, we do not need to assume $\{\widehat{M}_t\}$ is smooth and embedded here.

Furthermore, if $\{\widehat{M}_t\}$ is only smooth in a neighbourhood of $\widehat{x}$, we also have (12) and (13), see P.66 in [1].

For the sequence $\{x_j\}$ and y, it is also easy to prove the following result like (10) under the the condition of (8), smoothness of the function $\Phi_{(x_0,s_0)}$, and the measure convergence of $\{M^j_s\}$:

$$\int_{M^j_s}\Phi_{(x_j,s_0)} \to \int_{\Gamma_s}\Phi_{(y,s_0)} \tag{14}$$

as $j \to \infty$. By Huisken's monotonicity formula and (12), from (14) we get that

$$\int_{\Gamma_s}\Phi_{(y,s_0)} \geq 1 \tag{15}$$

Then we take $s \to s_0$, we have

$$\lim_{s\to s_0}\int_{\Gamma_s}\Phi_{(\widehat{x},s_0)} \geq 1$$

Thus we must have $y \in \Gamma_{s_0}$ and complete the proof. □

In the following subsections, see lemma 4.1, we will further prove that if a tangent flow is smooth and embedded, we even have smooth convergence.

3. Partial regularity for mean curvature flows

We will need a partial regularity theorem due to Ecker, see theorem 5.6 in [1].

Before stating Ecker's theorem, we need to introduce a test function, which plays an important role in Ecker's local monotonicity, see theorem 4.17 in [1]. Define

$$\phi_\rho(x,t) = (1 - \frac{|x|^2 + 2nt}{\rho^2})_+^3$$

and its translates

$$\phi_{(x_0,t_0),\rho}(x,t) = \phi_\rho(x - x_0, t - t_0)$$

For an open subset U of $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, there is a radius $\rho_0 > 0$ such that

$$B_{\sqrt{1+2n}\rho_0}(x_0) \times (t_0 - \rho_0^2, t_0) \subset U \times (t_1, t_0).$$

For all $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ and $t \in (t_0 - \rho_0^2, t_0)$ then we have

$$spt\phi_{(x_0,t_0),\rho} \subset B_{\sqrt{\rho^2 - 2n(t-t_0)}}(x_0) \subset B_{\sqrt{1+2n}\rho_0}(x_0) \subset U$$

The Gaussian density at (x_0, t_0) of mean curvature flow $\{M_t\}$ is defined as

$$\Theta(M_t, x_0, t_0) = \lim_{t \nearrow t_0} \int_{M_t} \Phi_{(x_0,t_0)} \tag{16}$$

It is easy to check that, if x_0 is a regular point of M_{t_0} then $\Theta(M_t, x_0, t_0) = 1$.

Theorem 3.1 (Ecker's local monotonicity, [1]). *Let $\{M_t\}_{t\in(t_1,t_0)}$ be a smooth,properly embedded solution of mean curvature flow in an open set $U \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$. Then for every $x_0 \in U$ there is a $\rho_0 \in (0, \sqrt{t_0 - t_1})$ such that for all $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$ and $t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$ we have*

$$spt\phi_{(x_0,t_0),\rho}(\cdot, t) \subset U$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{M_t} \Phi_{(x_0,t_0)}\phi_{(x_0,t_0),\rho} \leq -\int_{M_t} |\overrightarrow{H}(x) - \frac{(x - x_0)^\perp}{2(t - t_0)}|^2 \Phi_{(x_0,t_0)}\phi_{(x_0,t_0),\rho}$$

Since the right-hand side is non-positive and

$$\phi_{(x_0,t_0),\rho}(x_0, t_0) = 1$$

for every $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$, this implies that the locally defined Gaussian density

$$\Theta(M_t, x_0, t_0) \equiv \lim_{t \nearrow t_0} \int_{M_t} \Phi_{(x_0,t_0)}\phi_{(x_0,t_0),\rho}$$

exists, is independent of ρ and for global solutions agrees with the Gaussian density defined in (16). Furthermore, for every $t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$,

$$\Theta(M_t, x_0, t_0) \leq \int_{M_t} \Phi_{(x_0,t_0)} \phi_{(x_0,t_0),\rho}$$

The following partial regularity theorem is due to by B.White [13], and in [1] Ecker proves a similar result using the local monotonicity formula, here we present Ecker's version of B.White's partial regularity theorem.

Theorem 3.2 (Ecker, [1])**.** *Suppose $\{M_t\}$ is a smooth, properly embedded solution of mean curvature flow in $U \times (t_1, t_0)$ which reaches x_0 at time t_0, and U is an open set in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$. Then there exist constants $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $c_0 > 0$ such that whenever*

$$\Theta(M_t, x_0, t_0) \leq 1 + \epsilon_0$$

holds at $x_0 \in U$, then

$$|A(x)|^2 \leq \frac{c_0}{\rho^2}$$

for some $\rho > 0$ and for all $x \in M_t \cap B_\rho(x_0)$ and $t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$. In particular, x_0 is a regular point at time t_0.

In Ecker's proof, he actually proved the following result:

Theorem 3.3. *Whenever $\{M_t\}$ is a smooth, properly embedded solution of mean curvature flow in $U \times (t_1, t_0)$ which reaches x_0 at time t_0, and $B_\rho(x_0) \times (t_0 - 2\rho^2, t_0) \subset U \times (t_1, t_0)$, if there exists constants $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $c_0 > 0$ such that if*

$$\int_{M_t} \Phi_{(y,\tau)} \phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0} \leq \epsilon_0$$

for all $(y, \tau) \in B_\rho(x_0) \times (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$ and $t \in (\tau - \rho^2, \tau)$, and ρ_0 is chosen to make sure that $spt\phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0} \subset U$, then we have

$$|A(x)|^2 \leq \frac{c_0}{\rho^2}$$

for all $x \in M_t \cap B_\rho(x_0)$ and $t \in (t_0 - \rho^2, t_0)$.

Remark 3.4. *In the original version of Ecker's theorem, he didn't point out what exactly the constant c_0 depends on. However, throughout his proof, the author think c_0 depends on ϵ_0, U and $t_0 - t_1$. Whatever, we can still prove Theorem 1.2 following his proof.*

3.1. **Proof of theorem 1.2.** Following the same technique given by Ecker in proving Theorem 3.2, now we prove Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.5. *Let M_t be an smooth complete embedded ancient solution of mean curvature flow which exists in $(-\infty, 0]$. Assuming the origin $0 \in M_0$, then there exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$, such that for any such ancient solution M_t and any $R > 0$, if for all $(y, \tau) \in B_R(0) \times (-\infty, 0]$, M_t satisfies*

$$\int_{M_t} \Phi_{(y,\tau)} < 1 + \epsilon,$$

then we have

$$(\sigma R)^2 \sup_{(-(1-\sigma)^2 R^2, 0)} \sup_{M_t \cap B_{(1-\sigma)R}(0)} |A|^2 \leq C_0,$$

for all $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, and C_0 does not depend on R and M_t.

Proof. Suppose the lemma is not correct. Then for every $j \in N$ one can find a smooth, complete embedded solution $\{M_t^j\}$ which reaches $0 \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ at time 0 and some $R_j > 0$ such that for all $(y, \tau) \in B_{R_j}(0) \times (\infty, 0]$,

$$\int_{M_t^j} \Phi_{(y,\tau)} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{j}$$

holds but

$$\gamma_j^2 \equiv \sup_{\sigma \in (0,1)} ((\sigma R_j)^2 \sup_{(-(1-\sigma)^2 R_j^2, 0)} \sup_{M_t^j \cap B_{(1-\sigma)R_j}} |A|^2) \to \infty$$

as $j \to \infty$. In particular, one can find a $\sigma_j \in (0, 1)$ for which

$$\gamma_j^2 = (\sigma_j R_j)^2 \sup_{(-(1-\sigma_j)^2 R_j^2, 0)} \sup_{M_t^j \cap B_{(1-\sigma_j)R_j}} |A|^2$$

and a point

$$y_j \in M_{\tau_j}^j \cap \overline{B}_{(1-\sigma_j)R_j}$$

at a time $[-(1-\sigma_j)^2 R_j^2, 0]$ so that

$$\gamma_j^2 = \sigma_j^2 R_j^2 |A(y_j)|^2.$$

If we choose $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_j$, we have

$$\sigma_j^2 R_j^2 \sup_{(-(1-\frac{\sigma_j}{2})^2 R_j^2, 0)} \sup_{M_t^j \cap B_{(1-\sigma_j/2)R_j}(0)} |A|^2 \leq 4\gamma_j^2$$

that is

$$\sup_{(-(1-\frac{\sigma_j}{2})^2 R_j^2, 0)} \sup_{M_t^j \cap B_{(1-\sigma_j/2)R_j}(0)} |A|^2 \leq 4|A(y_j)|^2.$$

Since $(\tau_j - \frac{\sigma_j^2}{4}R_j^2, \tau_j) \subset (-(1-\frac{\sigma_j}{2})^2 R_j^2, 0)$ and $B_{\sigma_j R_j/2}(y_j) \subset B_{(1-\sigma_j/2)R_j}(0)$ so we can get

$$\sup_{(\tau_j - \sigma_j^2 R_j^2/4, \tau_j)} \sup_{M_t^j \cap B_{\sigma_j R_j/2}(y_j)} |A|^2 \leq 4|A(y_j)|^2.$$

Now let

$$\lambda_j = |A(y_j)|^{-1}$$

and define

$$\widetilde{M}_s^j = \frac{1}{\lambda_j}(M^j_{\lambda_j^2 s + \tau_j} - y_j)$$

for $s \in [\lambda_j^{-2}\sigma_j^2 R_j^2/4, 0]$.

Then $\{\widetilde{M}_s^j\}$ is a smooth solution of mean curvature flow satisfying

$$0 \in \widetilde{M}_0^j, |A(0)| = 1$$

and

$$\sup_{(\lambda_j^{-2}\sigma_j^2 R_j^2/4, 0)} \sup_{\widetilde{M}_s^j \cap B_{\lambda_j^{-1}\sigma_j R_j/2}(0)} \leq 4$$

for every $j \in N$. Since

$$\lambda_j^{-2}\sigma_j^2 R_j^2 = \gamma_j^2 \to \infty$$

we have for every $R > 0$ and sufficiently large j depending on R,

$$\sup_{(-R^2, 0)} \sup_{\widetilde{M}_s^j \cap B_R(0)} |A|^2 \leq 4.$$

By curvature estimates for mean curvature flow we know that for every j, $\{\widetilde{M}_s^j\}$ is smooth and have uniform curvature estimate on any compact subset of time-space $R^{n+1} \times R$. These allow us to apply Arzela-Ascoli theorem to conclude that a subsequence of $\{\widetilde{M}_s^j\}$ converges smoothly on compact subsets of $R^{n+1} \times R$ to a smooth solution $\{M'_s\}_{s \leq 0}$ of mean curvature flow. Moreover, for $\{M'_s\}_{s \leq 0}$ we can get

$$0 \in M'_0, |A(0)| = 1$$

and

$$|A(y)| \leq 4$$

for $y \in M'_s, s \leq 0$. By our assumption, we know that

$$\int_{\widetilde{M}_s^j} \Phi \leq 1 + \frac{1}{j}$$

for all $s \in (-\lambda_j^{-2}\sigma_j^2 R_j^2/4, 0)$. By the decreasing of $\int_{M_t^j} \Phi_{(y_j, \tau_j)}$ and the smoothness of $\widetilde{M}_0^j$, we also get

$$\int_{\widetilde{M}_s^j} \Phi \geq 1.$$

Now we take the limit for $j \to \infty$, we have

$$\int_{M'_s} \Phi = 1$$

for all $s < 0$.

At last, following the same argument in the proof of Theorem 5.6 in [1], and we complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Under the condition of Theorem 1.2, it is easy to check that $\Gamma_t = \sqrt{-t+1}\Gamma$ is a self-shrinking ancient solution of mean curvature flow. By Huisken's monotonicity formula and the definition of entropy, we see that Γ_t satisfies all the condition needed in Lemma 3.5, so we get the estimate for Γ

$$(\sigma R)^2 \sup_{(-(1-\sigma)^2R^2,0)} \sup_{M_t \cap B_{(1-\sigma)R}(0)} \leq C_0$$

for all $\sigma \in (0,1)$. If we take $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ and let $R \to \infty$, then we get $|A|^2 = 0$ everywhere on Γ, so Γ is a hyperplane.

4. Partial regularity for tangent flows

Suppose $\{\Gamma_s\}$ is a tangent flow of $\{M_t\}$ at the first singular time, and $\{M_s^j\}$ is the corresponding sequence of parabolic rescalings of $\{M_t\}$. We will need the following consequence of Theorem 3.2:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\{M_t\} \subset \mathrm{R}^{n+1}$ be closed hypersurfaces flowing by mean curvature flow, and $\{\Gamma_s\}$ and $\{M_s^j\}$ are defined as above. If Γ_{-1} is multiplicity one, then for any compact subset $K \subset Reg(\Gamma_{-1})$ there is a subsequence of $\{M_{-1}^j\}$ which converge smoothly to $\{\Gamma_{-1}\}$ on K.*

Before proving the lemma, we need the following result:

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $\{\Gamma_s\}$ and $\{M_s^j\}$ are defined as in the above lemma, Γ_{-1} is multiplicity one, and $\epsilon > 0$ is any fixed positive constant. Let $Reg(\Gamma_{-1})$ represent the regular part of Γ_{-1}. Then for any $x_0 \in Reg(\Gamma_{-1})$, there exist $\rho_0 = \rho_0(x_0) > 0$ and some $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ and a sufficiently large J, such that*

$$\int_{M_s^j} \Phi_{(y,\tau)} \phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0} \leq 1 + \epsilon$$

for all $(y,\tau) \in B_\rho(x_0) \times (-1-\rho^2, -1)$, $s \in (\tau - \rho^2, \tau)$ and $j > J$.

Proof. Because x_0 is a regular point of Γ_{-1} and $\{\Gamma_s\}$ is a self-shrinking mean curvature flow, we can find a $\rho_0 = \rho_0(x_0) > 0$ such that $\{\Gamma_s\}$ is smooth on $B_{\sqrt{1+2n}\rho_0}(x_0) \times (-1-\rho_0, 1)$.

Since Γ_{-1} is multiplicity one, so $\Theta(\Gamma_s, x_0, -1) = 1$. By Theorem 3.1, we can find a $\rho_1 \in (0, \rho_0]$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma_{-1-\rho_1^2}} \Phi_{(x_0,-1)}\phi_{(x_0,-1),\rho_0} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon.$$

The continuity of

$$(y, \tau) \longrightarrow \int_{\Gamma_{-1-\rho_1^2}} \Phi_{(y,\tau)}\phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0}$$

implies that for some $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$ and all $(y, \tau) \in B_\rho(x_0) \times (-1 - \rho^2, -1)$,

$$\int_{\Gamma_{-1-\rho_1^2}} \Phi_{(y,\tau)}\phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \tag{17}$$

and furthermore $(\tau - \rho^2, \tau) \subset (-1 - \rho_1^2, -1)$. Define a sequence of functions g_j by

$$g_j(y, \tau) = \int_{M^j_{-1-\rho_1^2}} \Phi_{(y,\tau)}\phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0}$$

We will only consider the $g_j's$ on the region $\overline{B}_\rho(x_0) \times [-1 - \rho^2, -1]$, it follows from the first variation formula, see lemma 3.7 in [3], that g_j's are uniformly Lipschitz in this region with

$$\sup_{\overline{B}_\rho(x_0)\times[-1-\rho^2,-1]} |\nabla_{y,\tau} g_j| < C,$$

where C depends on ρ and the scale-invariant local area bounds for the $M^j_{-1-\rho_1^2}$'s which are uniformly bounded. Since $M^j_{-1-\rho_1^2}$'s converge to $\Gamma_{-1-\rho_1^2}$ as Radon measures and $\Gamma_{-1-\rho_1^2}$ satisfies (17), so there exists some J sufficiently large so that for all $j > J$ we have

$$\int_{M^j_{-1-\rho_1^2}} \Phi_{(y,\tau)}\phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0} \leq 1 + \epsilon$$

for all $(y, \tau) \in B_\rho(x_0) \times (-1 - \rho^2, -1)$. Since by lemma 3.1,

$$s \mapsto \int_{M^j_s} \Phi_{(y,\tau)}\phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0}$$

is non-increasing we obtain

$$\int_{M^j_s} \Phi_{(y,\tau)}\phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0} \leq \int_{M^j_{-1-\rho_1^2}} \Phi_{(y,\tau)}\phi_{(y,\tau),\rho_0} \leq 1 + \epsilon$$

for all $(y, \tau) \in B_\rho(x_0) \times (-1 - \rho^2, -1)$, $s \in (\tau - \rho^2, \tau)$ and $j > J$. □

Proof of lemma 4.1: By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 3.2, we know that for any $x_0 \in Reg(\Gamma_{-1})$, there is a positive $\rho(x_0)$ and a sufficiently large number $J = J(x_0)$ such that $\{M^j_{-1}\}$ have unform bound on second fundamental form. From the curvature estimate of mean curvature flow, we can also get unform bound on higher derivatives of second fundamental form of $\{M^j_{-1}\}$. Therefore, for any compact subset $K \subset Reg(\Gamma_{-1})$ we can choose a subsequence of $\{M^j_{-1}\}$ denoted by $\{M^{j_i}_{-1}\}$, such that $\{M^{j_i}_{-1}\}$ converge smoothly to $\{\Gamma_{-1}\}$ on K.

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We will prove Theorem 1.1 by mean curvature flows. Suppose M_0 is a hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ satisfying all conditions in Theorem 1.1, and denote $\{M_t\}$ is the mean curvature flow starting from M_0 before the first singular time. Since mean curvature $H > 0$ on M_0, by Theorem 4.3 in [5], we obviously have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $\{M_t\}_{t\in[0,T)}$ is a mean curvature flow before the first singular time starting from M_0. If there is a constant C such that $|A|^2 \leq CH^2$ on M_0, then we have*

$$|A|^2(x,t) \leq CH^2(x,t) \tag{18}$$

holds on M_t for every $t \in [0,T)$.

For preparation of proving Theorem 1.1, we also need the following two important theorems.

Theorem 4.4 (see [3])**.** *$\boldsymbol{S}^k \times \boldsymbol{R}^{n-k}$ are the only smooth complete embedded self-shrinkers without boundary, with polynoimal volume growth, and $H \geq 0$ in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$*

Theorem 4.5 (see [4])**.** *If $\Gamma \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n+1}$ is a weak solution of the self-shrinker equation (5), $\lambda(\Gamma) < \frac{3}{2}$, and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|A| \leq CH$$

on the regular set $Reg(\Gamma)$, then Γ is smooth.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Assume $\{M_t\}_{t\in[0,T)}$ is a mean curvature flow starting from M_0, T is the first singular time and x_0 is a singular point in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we know that there exist a tangent flow $\{\Gamma_s\}_{s<0}$ at (x_0, T) and a corresponding sequence $\{M^j_s\}$ of parabolic transformations of $\{M_t\}$. By Lemma 4.3 and inequality (18) is scaling-invariant, we get that for every j,

$$|A_j| \leq CH_j$$

on M^j_s, where A_j and H_j are the second fundamental form and mean curvature on M^j_s respectively. Combining this with Lemma 4.1, we have $|A| \leq CH$

on the regular part of Γ_{-1}. By Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5, we know that Γ_{-1} must be of the form $\mathbf{S}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{n-k}$. Since entropy is non-increasing under mean curvature flow, scaling non-invariant and lower semi-continuous under limits, then we have

$$\lambda(\Gamma_{-1}) \leq \min\{\lambda(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}), \frac{3}{2}\}$$

If $\lambda(\Gamma_{-1}) = \min\{\lambda(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}), \frac{3}{2}\}$, we know that the entropy $\lambda(M_t)$ is invariant under $\{M_t\}$, By Huisken's monotonicity formula, M_0 must be a compact self-shrinker with $H > 0$, then from Theorem 4.4 we know that M_0 must be a round sphere.

If $\lambda(\Gamma_{-1}) < \min\{\lambda(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}), \frac{3}{2}\}$, By Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5, we know that Γ_{-1} must be $\mathbf{S}^n$.

Using Lemma 4.1 again, we have for sufficient large j, M^j_{-1} can be written as a smooth graph over Γ_{-1}. Since Γ_{-1} is a round sphere, then we have for sufficient large j, we have M^j_{-1} is diffeomorphic to a round sphere. By the definition of M^j_{-1}, we know that $M^j_{-1} = \lambda_j^{-1}(M_{T-\lambda_j^2} - x_0)$. Since mean curvature flow $\{M_t\}$ is smooth up to the first singular time, then M_0 is diffeomorphic to a round sphere, and we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 4.6. *We think entropy may give some information of the hypersurface, so this work is attempt to study the singularities of mean curvature flow by entropy. From theorem 1.1 we can see that if the entropy of a mean convex compact hypersurface is no more than* $\min\{\lambda(\boldsymbol{S}^{n-1}), \frac{3}{2}\}$ *, then it is diffeomorphic to a round sphere. We believe that if the entropy is a little higher we can also get some classification result as we mentioned at the beginning of this paper.*

References

[1] K.Ecker, Regularity theory for mean curvature flow. BirkHäuser, Boston, 2004.

[2] J.Ells, L.Lemaire, A report on harmonic maps. Bull. London Math. Soc., 10(1978), 1-68.

[3] T.H.Colding and W.P.Minicozzi II, Generic mean curvature flow I; generic singularities. Annals of Math., 175(2012), 755-833.

[4] T.H.Colding, T.Ilmanen, W.P.Minicozzi II and B.White, The round sphere minimizes entropy among closed self-shrinkers. J. Differential Geom. Volume 95, Number 1 (2013), 53-69.

[5] G.Huisken, Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres. J. Differential Geom., 20(1984), 237-266.

[6] G.Huisken and C.Sinestrari, Mean curvature flow with surgeries of two-convex hypersurfaces. Invent. Math., 175(2009), 137-221.

[7] T.Ilmanen, Singularities of mean curvature flow of surfaces. preprint, 1995.

[8] T.Ilmanen, Elliptic regularization and partial regularity for motion by mean curvature. preprint, 1993.

[9] Liao,G.J, A regularity theorem for harmonic map with small energy. J. Differential Geometry, 22(1985), 233-241.
[10] M.Struwe, Uniqueness of harmonic maps with small energy. Manuscripta Mathematica, 96(1998), 463-486.
[11] A.Stone, A density function and the structure of singularities of the mean curvature flow. Calc. Var. 2(1994), 443-480.
[12] J.Sacks, K.Uhlenbeck, The existence of minimal immersions of 2-spheres. Ann. of Math., (2)113(1981), 1-24.
[13] B.White, A local regularity theorem for mean curvature flow. Ann. of Math. (2)161(2005), 1487-1519.

Chao Bao, Key Laboratory of Pure and Applied mathematics, School of Mathematics Science, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, P.R. China.
E-mail address: chbao@126.com